Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Hydrogen-bonding patterns in trimethoprim picolinate and 2-amino-4,6-dimethylpyrimidinium picolinate hemihydrate

Madhukar Hemamalini,^a Packianathan Thomas Muthiah^a* and Daniel E. Lynch^b

^aSchool of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, Tamil Nadu, India, and ^bFaculty of Health and Life Sciences, Coventry University, Coventry CV1 5FB, England

Correspondence e-mail: tommtrichy@yahoo.co.in

Received 26 September 2005 Accepted 17 November 2005 Online 31 January 2006

In the title compounds, namely 2,4-diamino-5-[(3,4,5trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]pyrimidin-1-ium pyridine-2-carboxylate, $C_{14}H_{19}N_4O_3^+ \cdot C_6H_4NO_2^-$, (I), and 2-amino-4,6-dimethylpyrimidin-1-ium pyridine-2-carboxylate hemihydrate, $C_6H_{10}N_3^+ \cdot C_6H_4NO_2^- \cdot 0.5H_2O_3$ (II), the trimethoprim and 2-amino-4,6-dimethylpyrimidin-1-ium cations are protonated at one of the pyrimidine N atoms. In (I), bifurcated hydrogen bonds are observed between a picolinate O atom, the protonated N atom and the 2-amino group; the graph-set designator is $R_2^1(6)$. The pyrimidine moieties of the trimethoprim cations are centrosymmetrically paired through a pair of $N-H \cdots N$ hydrogen bonds. In addition to the base pairing, one of the picolinate O atoms bridges the 2- and 4-amino groups on either side of the paired bases, resulting in a complementary DADA array. In (II), the carboxylate group of the picolinate anion binds with the protonated pyrimidine N atom and the 2-amino group of the pyrimidine moiety through a pair of $N-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, leading to the common ring motif $R_2^2(8)$. The water molecule, which resides on a twofold rotation axis, bridges the carboxylate group of the picolinate anion via O-H···O hydrogen bonds.

Comment

Hydrogen-bonding patterns involving aminopyrimidine and carboxylates have been observed in drug-receptor interactions, protein–nucleic acid interactions and supramolecular architectures (Desiraju, 1989). Studies of such interactions are also of current interest because of their applications in drug design and the crystal engineering of pharmaceuticals (Stanley *et al.*, 2005). Pyrimidine and aminopyrimidine derivatives are biologically important as they occur in nature as components of nucleic acid. Some aminopyrimidine derivatives are used as antifolate drugs (Hunt *et al.*, 1980; Baker & Santi, 1965). Trimethoprim [2,4-diamino-5-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)pyrimidine, TMP] is a well known antifolate drug. It selectively inhibits the bacterial dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) enzyme (Hitchings *et al.*, 1988). Picolinic acid (pyridine-2carboxylic acid) is a well known terminal tryptophan metabolite (Mahler & Cordes, 1971). It induces apoptosis in leukaemia HL-60 cells (Ogata *et al.*, 2000). The crystal structures of a dinuclear oxomolybdenum(V) complex of picolinate (Okabe *et al.*, 2002), of *catena*-poly[[[bis(2-pyridinecarboxylato)copper(II)]-µ-benzene-1,2,4,5-tetracarboxylic acid] dihydrate] (Wang *et al.*, 2005) and of *trans*-dichloro(dimethyl sulfoxide)(2-picoline)platinum(II) (Melanson *et al.*, 1978) have been reported. In this paper, the crystal structures of trimethoprim (TMP) picolinate, (I), and 2-amino-4,6dimethylpyrimidinium (AMPY) picolinate hemihydrate, (II), are described.



Views of (I) and (II) are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. In (I), the asymmetric unit contains a trimethoprim cation and a picolinate anion. In (II), one 2-amino-4,6dimethylpyrimidinium cation, one picolinate anion and one half-molecule of water (the O atom of the water molecule lies on a twofold axis) constitute the asymmetic unit. In both structures, the pyrimidine moieties are protonated at N1, leading to an increase in internal angles (see angles C2–N1– C6 in Tables 1 and 3) compared with neutral TMP (Koetzle &



Figure 1

Views of (a) (I) and (b) (II), showing the atom-labelling schemes and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

Williams, 1976) and AMPY (Panneerselvam et al., 2004). In (I), the dihedral angle between the pyrimidine and benzene rings is 76.06 (7)°. This value is close to that found in TMPcarboxylate salts (Raj, Stanley et al., 2003). The C4-C5-C7-C8 and C5-C7-C8-C9 torsion angles are -68.79 (18) and 168.05 $(14)^{\circ}$, respectively.

In (I), atom O5 of the carboxylate group accepts a H atom from protonated atom N1 and the 2-amino group of the pyrimidine ring, forming a cyclic hydrogen-bonded bimolecular pattern [graph-set $R_2^1(6)$; Etter, 1990; Bernstein *et al.*, 1995]. A similar pattern was also observed in the crystal structure of trimethoprim 3-carboxy-4-hydroxybenzenesulfonate dihydrate (Raj, Sethuraman et al., 2003). This is different from the common $R_2^2(8)$ pattern observed in the crystal structures of aminopyrimidine-carboxylate salts (Stanley et al., 2002). The pyrimidine moieties form base pairs through N4-H···N3 (Table 2) hydrogen bonds involving the 4-amino group and atom N3. In addition to the base pairing, a hydrogen-bonded acceptor (atom O4 from the picolinate anion) bridges the 4- and 2-amino groups on both sides of the pairing, leading to a complementary linear DADA array (D =



Figure 2

The hydrogen-bonding patterns (dashed lines) in (I). [Symmetry codes: (i) 2 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z; (ii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (iii) x - 1, y - 1, z.]



Figure 3

The hydrogen-bonding patterns (dashed lines) in (II). [Symmetry code: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{3}{2} - y$, 1 - z.]

donor in hydrogen bonds and A = acceptor in hydrogen bonds), with the rings having the graph-set notations $R_2^3(8)$, $R_2^2(8)$ and $R_2^3(8)$. The same type of DADA array has also been observed in the crystal structures of trimethoprim trifluoroacetate (Francis et al., 2002) and a copper(II) phthalate trimethoprim complex (Raj, Muthiah et al., 2003). The characteristic hydrogen-bonded rings observed in the structure aggregate into a supramolecular ladder consisting of a pair of chains, each of which is built up of alternating TMP and picolinate anions (Fig. 2).

In (II), the carboxylate group (atoms O1 and O2) of the picolinate anion interacts with protonated atom N1 and the 2-amino group of the pyrimidine moiety through a pair of N- $H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ hydrogen bonds, leading to the common ring motif with graph-set notation $R_2^2(8)$ (Lynch *et al.*, 2004). This is reminiscent of the trimethoprim-carboxylate interactions observed in the DHFR-TMP complexes (Kuyper, 1989). The water molecule, which resides on a twofold rotation axis, bridges the carboxylate groups of the picolinate anions via $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. One of the H atoms of the 2-amino group is also involved in bifurcated hydrogen bonding with carboxyl atom O2 and the pyridine N atom to form a five-membered hydrogen-bonded ring $[R_2^1(5);$ Fig. 3].

Experimental

A hot methanol solution of picolinic acid (61.5 mg, obtained from SD Fine Chemicals Ltd) was mixed with a hot aqueous solution of trimethoprim [for (I); 145 mg, obtained from Shilpa Antibiotics Ltd] or 2-amino-4,6-dimethylpyrimidine [for (II); 63.25 mg, obtained from Merck]. The mixtures were cooled slowly and kept at room temperature. After a few days, colourless block-shaped crystals of (I) and (II) were obtained from the corresponding solutions.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

-	
$C_{14}H_{19}N_4O_3^+ \cdot C_6H_4NO_2^-$	Z = 2
$M_r = 413.43$	$D_x = 1.394 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, P1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 9.0642 (3) \text{ Å}_{2}$	Cell parameters from 3758
b = 10.2730 (3) Å	reflections
c = 12.1188 (4) Å	$\theta = 3.1-27.6^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 108.051 \ (17)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 98.741 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 120 (2) K
$\gamma = 107.517 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Block, colourless
$V = 985.03 (14) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.22 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans 17816 measured reflections 4537 independent reflections 3758 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.051$ $wR(F^2) = 0.141$ S = 1.134537 reflections 275 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $R_{\rm int}=0.036$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.6^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0748P)^2]$ + 0.2682P] where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.47 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.47 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL97 Extinction coefficient: 0.138 (9)

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$) for (I).

O1-C12	1.381 (2)	O3-C10	1.363 (2)
O1-C14	1.428 (2)	O3-C16	1.425 (2)
O2-C11	1.3826 (18)	O4-C22	1.255 (2)
O2-C15	1.429 (2)	O5-C22	1.253 (2)
C2-N1-C6	119.87 (14)	N1-C2-N3	121.87 (14)
C2-N3-C4	118.52 (14)	N1-C2-N2	118.21 (14)
N2-C2-N3	119.92 (14)	N3-C4-N4	116.74 (14)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (I).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
N1 U1 05	0.00	1.02	2 7208 (10)	151
N1-H105	0.88	1.92	2.7308 (19)	151
$N1 - H1 \cdots N5$	0.88	2.39	3.0441 (19)	131
$N2-H2A\cdots O4^{1}$	0.88	2.00	2.8693 (19)	169
$N2-H2B\cdots O5$	0.88	2.02	2.7982 (19)	147
N4–H4A···N3 ⁱⁱ	0.88	2.12	2.9966 (18)	173
N4-H4 B ···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.88	2.14	2.8412 (18)	137
$C14-H14A\cdots O2$	0.96	2.56	2.899 (3)	101
$C17-H17\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.93	2.49	3.141 (2)	128

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) x - 1, y - 1, z; (iv) -x, -y - 1, -z.

Compound (II)

Crystal data

$C_6H_{10}N_3^+ \cdot C_6H_4NO_2^- \cdot 0.5H_2O$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 255.28$	Cell parameters from 2204
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	reflections
a = 15.7666 (4) Å	$\theta = 3.3-26.0^{\circ}$
b = 8.7980 (1) Å	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 18.5038 (4) Å	T = 120 K
$\beta = 102.7400 \ (10)^{\circ}$	Block, colourless
V = 2503.55 (9) Å ³	$0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$
Z = 8	
$D_x = 1.355 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector	$R_{int} = 0.026$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ}$
φ and ω scans	$h = -19 \rightarrow 19$
16549 measured reflections	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
2451 independent reflections	$l = -22 \rightarrow 22$
2204 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Table 3

Selected geometric parameters (Å,	°)	for	(II).
-----------------------------------	----	-----	-------

O1-C14	1.2687 (18)	N3-C4	1.3270 (19)
O2-C14	1.2430 (18)	N3-C2	1.3558 (18)
N1-C2	1.3642 (17)	N4-C13	1.3409 (19)
N1-C6	1.3591 (18)	N4-C9	1.3410 (18)
N2-C2	1.3190 (18)		
C2-N1-C6	121.13 (12)	N1-C6-C8	116.34 (12)
C2-N3-C4	117.42 (12)	N1-C6-C5	118.40 (13)
C9-N4-C13	117.73 (12)	N4-C9-C14	116.70 (12)
N1-C2-N2	118.83 (12)	N4-C9-C10	122.74 (13)
N1-C2-N3	121.72 (13)	N4-C13-C12	123.12 (15)
N2-C2-N3	119.45 (12)	O1-C14-O2	126.11 (14)
N3-C4-C7	116.99 (12)	O2-C14-C9	117.86 (13)
N3-C4-C5	122.80 (13)	O1-C14-C9	116.03 (12)

Кејтетет	
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0834P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.060$	+ 1.0121P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.143$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.25	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2451 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.78 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
171 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.82 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
	(Sheldrick, 1997)
	Extinction coefficient: 0.060 (4)

Table 4

				()
Undrogon bond	goomotry	(Λ Ο) for 1	(II)
TIVULO9CH-DOHU	VEOILIELI	IA.	1 101 1	
	8	<	, ,	()

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1 - H1 \cdots O1$	0.88	1.80	2.6657 (15)	168
$O1W - H1W \cdots O1$	0.99	2.00	2.9588 (14)	164
$N2 - H2A \cdots O2^{i}$	0.88	2.53	2.9166 (16)	108
$N2-H2A\cdots N4^{i}$	0.88	2.09	2.9645 (16)	170
$N2-H2B\cdots O2$	0.88	1.93	2.8125 (16)	175

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, -z + 1$.

For compound (I), all H atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined as riding, with C–H = 0.93–0.97 Å and N–H = 0.88 Å, and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(\text{methyl C,N})$. For compound (II), the H atoms of the water molecules were located in a difference Fourier map and refined as riding, with O–H = 0.98 Å and $U_{iso}(H) =$ $1.5U_{eq}(O)$. The other H atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined as riding, with C–H = 0.95–0.98 Å and N–H = 0.88 Å, and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$. The highest peak in the final difference map was found at a distance of 1.29 Å from H13 and the deepest hole was 0.72 Å from C14.

For both compounds, data collection: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *DENZO* and *COLLECT*; data reduction: *DENZO* and *COLLECT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON*.

MH thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India, for the award of a Senior Research Fellowship (SRF) [reference No. 9/475(123)/2004-EMR-I]. DL thanks the EPSRC National Crystallographic Service, Southampton, England, for the X-ray data collection.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SQ1233). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Baker, B. R. & Santi, D. V. (1965). J. Pharm. Sci. 44, 1252-1257.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Desiraju, G. R. (1989). Crystal Engineering: The Design of Organic Solids. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Etter, M. C. (1990). Acc. Chem. Res. 23, 120-126.
- Francis, S., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & Righi, L. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, 0717–0719.
- Hitchings, G. H., Kuyper, L. F. & Baccananari, D. P. (1988). *Design of Enzyme Inhibitors as Drugs*, edited by M. Sandler & H. J. Smith, p. 343. New York: Oxford University Press.

- Hunt, W. E., Schwalbe, C. H., Bird, K. & Mallinson, P. D. (1980). *Biochem. J.* 187, 533–536.
- Koetzle, T. F. & Williams, G. J. B. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 2074–2078.
- Kuyper, L. F. (1989). Computer-Aided Drug Design: Methods and Applications, edited by T. J. Perun & C. L. Propst, ch. 9, pp. 327–370. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc.
- Lynch, D. E. & Jones, G. D. (2004). Acta Cryst. B60, 748-754.
- Mahler, H. R. & Cordes, E. H. (1971). *Biological Chemistry*, 2nd ed., pp. 801–803. New York: Harper and Row Publishers.
- Melanson, R. & Rochon, F. D. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 1125-1127.
- Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Ogata, S., Takeuchi, M., Fujita, H., Shibata, K., Okumura, K. & Taguchi, H. (2000). *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **64**, 327–332.
- Okabe, N., Isomoto, N. & Odoko, M. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m1-m3.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.

- Panneerselvam, P., Muthiah, P. T. & Francis, S. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, 0747-0749.
- Raj, S. B., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & Cantoni, A. (2003). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 6, 748–751.
- Raj, S. B., Sethuraman, V., Francis, S., Hemamalini, M., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G., Cantoni, A., Rychlewska, U. & Warzajtis, B. (2003). *CrystEngComm*, 5, 70–76.
- Raj, S. B., Stanley, N., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G., Oll'a, R. & Cantoni, A. (2003). Cryst. Growth Des. 3, 567–571.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Stanley, N., Muthiah, P. T., Geib, S. J., Luger, P., Weber, M. & Messerschmidt, M. (2005). *Tetrahedron*, **61**, 7201–7210.
- Stanley, N., Sethuraman, V., Muthiah, P. T., Luger, P. & Weber, M. (2002). Cryst. Growth Des. 6, 631–635.
- Wang, L., Zhou, D.-H. & Zhang, J.-P. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m958-m960.